

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/772,105	OZELIUS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(2) Doreen Hogle.

**Status of Application: amended**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was suggested that claims be filed which separate out sequences according to priority date. Applicant's representative indicated that an amendment to that effect would be forthcoming*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)